IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 20238 of 2004

Between:

The Official Receiver, M/s Taluq High School Committee Tenali,
N. Raja Prasad Baba S/o Sesha Rao, aged about 48 years,
Principal Senior Civil Judge, Tenali, Guntur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner and Director of School Education, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner and Director of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 The Regional Joint Director, School Education, Guntur.
4 The District Educational Officer, Guntur, Guntur District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction writ in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in obstructing with the functioning of the Receiver and in not co-operating in discharge of various duties as Receiver as appointed by this Court in CMA.No. 2170 of 2002 dated 13-3-2003 in place of the Secretary and Correspondent of the Taluq High School Committee and its Institutions namely Taluq Junior College, Taluq Junior College High School with its Branch and Sarada Primary School as illegal, arbitrary and in violation of the order dated 20-7-2004 made in CMA.No. 2170 of 2002 and in CMA.No. 1871 of 2003 on the file of this Court.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.Y.S.SAI VARA PRASAD

Counsel for Respondents : G.P. FOR EDUCATION

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 4.11.2004, the same was adjourned at the request of the learned counsel for petitioner and when the matter came up for hearing on 8.11.2004, it was pointed out that the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition was bereft of material particulars, and therefore, the learned counsel requested time for filing better affidavit. Today, when the matter taken up for hearing, there is no better affidavit and the learned counsel again sought time for filing the same. This clearly shows that the petitioner has no interest and is unnecessarily wasting the Court's time.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed. No costs.

_____

A.GOPAL REDDY, J

Date: 17.11.2004

DA

To

1 The Commissioner and Director of School Education, Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner and Director of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 The Regional Joint Director, School Education, Guntur.
4 The District Educational Officer, Guntur, Guntur District.

5 Two CCs to the G.P. Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

6 Two spare copies.